

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/633,732 | 08/04/2003 | Eugenio G. Mannella | 5810-03/B &D0002.US | 5574 |
| 75 | 590 01/04/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| Ronald, K. Aust | | | ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE | |
| Taylor & Aust, P.C. 12029 E. Washington Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Indianapolis, IN 46229 | | | 3676 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/04/200. | S |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| wait. | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/633,732 | MANNELLA, EUGENIO G. | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gary Estremsky | 3676 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the perio | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 Insion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP I 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: . | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>cancellation of claims renders their previous rejection</u> <u>moot.</u> . | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,16-19</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)// | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | gary Estremsky | | | | |
| | | Primary Examines Art Unit: 3676 | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the disclosed invention where claimed invention's scope is broad enough to be read on the iprior art as set forth in the Final Office Action.